# crohn's S colitis

Name of Clinical Care Pathway

Loss of response OR partial response for patients on advanced therapy

Objective

Achieve and maintain remission with advanced therapy

Patient Population

Patients diagnosed with inflammatory bowel disease on advanced therapy

Dr. Jesse Siffledeen MD MSc (Oxon) FRCPC (University of Alberta & Covenant Health / Grey Nuns Hospital Edmonton)
Dr. Vivian Huang MD, FRCPS (University of Toronto & Mount Sinai Hospital)

PACE Inflammatory Bowel Disease Clinical Care Pathways



# Highlight Box

New IBD therapies are continuously becoming available, however the approach to loss of response or partial response for patients on advanced therapy remains inconsistent. The main objective is to achieve and maintain remission by dose optimization and reassessment of response to medications and switching therapies as required.

These clinical decision support tools were developed by Canadian experts in IBD, based on their interpretation of current evidence and considerations specific to Canadian healthcare. International guidelines from Europe and the United States are available. However, these may reflect regional factors not directly applicable in Canada

### Introduction

This CCP recommends a common approach to any IBD patient who is on advanced therapy and who is exhibiting symptoms of loss of response or partial response. While initially developed for guidance regarding drug level monitoring and dose optimization for patients losing response to anti-TNF therapies, this CCP also provides suggestions for how to approach patients who are on newer biologics and small molecules. Where applicable, the guidance reflects published data and recommendations established by the global IBD community.










## Confirm clinically relevant active IBD

- Clinical symptoms
- FCP (table 2)/stool testing
- Bloods (Routine, drug trough + antidrug Ab)
- Endoscopy/radiology
- Clinical symptoms
- Ultrasound

Exclude IBS, infection, malignancy and fibrostenotic stricture



 $<sup>{}^*\!</sup>A\mathrm{dd}\,a\mathrm{n}\,i\mathrm{mmunomodulator}\,i\mathrm{f}\,s\mathrm{witching}\,t\mathrm{o}\,a\mathrm{nother}\,a\mathrm{dvanced}\,t\mathrm{herapy}\,a\mathrm{gent}\,t\mathrm{o}\,\mathrm{prevent}\,\mathrm{ADA}\,\mathrm{formation}$ 









Table 1: Approach to managing other advanced therapies

Drug	Dose optimization Time to reasses	
Vedolizumab	Escalate to q4w dosing* At the 3 <sup>rd</sup> q4w dosing	
Ustekinumab	Escalate to q4w dosing OR request IV reloading dose	At the 3 <sup>rd</sup> q4w dosing OR 3 to 4 months after IV reloading
	request iv reloading dose	dose
Tofacitinib	10mg po BID	After 8 weeks
Upadacitinib	30mg po bid (for those on 15mg qd)	After 8 weeks
Risankizumab	N/A	
Ozanimod	N/A	

<sup>\*</sup>Optimization has limited benefit based on evidence.

Always discuss the potential risks associated with changing advanced therapies with the patient, including the risk of a lesser response and potential side effects.

Table 2: Fecal Calprotectin results and clinical approach

Fecal Calprotectin (μg/g)	Interpretation	Suggested Management
<50-100	Quiescent disease likely	Continue current therapy
>100-250	Inflammation possible	Investigate (e.g., colonoscopy) to confirm inflammation
>250	Inflammation likely	Optimize/switch therapy

Table 3: Approach to Managing Thiopurine Therapy

Etiology of Thiopurine Failure	6-TGN Level (pmol/10 <sup>8</sup> erythrocytes)	6-MMP Level (pmol/10 <sup>8</sup> erythrocytes)	6-MMP/6-TGN Ratio	Proposed Treatment Strategy
Inadequate dose	Low (<230)	Low (<5700)	Normal (4-24)	Increase dose
Excessive TPMT	Low (<230)	High (>5700)	High (>24)	TPMT modulation by the addition of allopurinol or 5-ASA, dose splitting, switch to alternative agent, such as MTX
Lack of adherence	Low (<230)	Low (<5700)	Normal (4-24)	Verify adherence
True drug ineffectiveness	Normal (230-400)	Normal (<5700)	Normal (4-24)	Alternative therapy

5-ASA: Mesalamine

6-MMP-methyl mercaptopurine

6-TGN: 6-thioguanine nucleotides

MTX: Methotrexate

TPMT: Thiopurine methyltransferase









### References

Papamichael et al. Appropriate Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Biologic Agents for patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. Clinical Gastroenterology Hepatology. 2019; 17(9):1655-1668. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2019.03.037">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2019.03.037</a>

Feuerstein et al. American Gastroenterological Association Institute Guideline on Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Gastroenterology 2017;153(3):827-834. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2017.07.032

Mitrev et al. Review article: consensus statements on therapeutic drug monitoring of anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy in inflammatory bowel diseases. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2017; 46(11-12):1037-1053. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.14368">https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.14368</a>

Bressler et al. Clinician's guide to the use of fecal calprotectin to identify and monitor disease activity in inflammatory bowel disease. Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology 2015;29(7):369-372. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/852723">https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/852723</a>

Kopylov et al. Therapeutic drug monitoring in inflammatory bowel disease. Annals of Gastroenterology 2014;27(4):304-312. PMCID: <a href="https://pmc4188926">PMC4188926</a>

Turner et al. STRIDE-II: An Update on the Selecting Therapeutic Targets in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (STRIDE) Initiative of the International Organization for the Study of IBD (IOIBD): Determining Therapeutic Goals for Treat-to-Target strategies in IBD. Gastroenterology 2021 Apr;160(5):1570-1583. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.12.031">https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.12.031</a>





